Program Data Point 11. Student Clinical Site Evaluations

Using feedback from students, the program developed a concise set of questions aimed at evaluating site supervision and students' overall site experiences. In the summer of 2023, the program revised the forms students use to evaluate their placement sites and site supervisors, increasing the number of data points for both instruments. The following tables detail the data collected.

Table 1. Student evaluation of placement site

Evaluation Prompt 5=highly effective; 1=minimally effective	SU 2023	FA 2023	SP 2024	SU 2024
My placement site provided the required hours needed to complete practicum/internship.	4.5	4.6	4.7	3.8
My site promoted ethical practice and healthy boundaries.	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.5
The site had a positive work environment.	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.6
I felt safe and supported at the site.	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.8
My site provided sufficient and private space in order to protect client confidentiality.	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.4

Table 2. Student evaluation of site/faculty supervisor

Evaluation Prompt 5=highly effective; 1=minimally effective	SU 2023	FA 2023	SP 2024	SU 2024
My supervisor was accessible.	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7
My supervisor took an active interest in my experience at the site.	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.6
My supervisor arranged meaningful experiences for me at the site.	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.5
My supervisor made expectations clear and helped me work towards my developmental goals.	4.5	5	4.8	4.5
My supervisor gave timely and meaningful feedback.	4.6	5	4.7	4.7
My supervisor intentionally facilitated our collaborative supervisory relationship.	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.6
My supervisor provided direct feedback on recorded or live sessions.	4.5	4.9	4.6	4.5
My supervisor enabled me to practice ethical treatment and behaviors.	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8

Table 1. Student evaluation of placement site

Evaluation Prompt 5=highly effective; 1=minimally effective		SP 2023
My placement site provided opportunities to meet requirements needed to complete practicum/internship.	4.3	4.4
My site promoted ethical practice and healthy boundaries.	3.7	5
The site had a positive work environment.	3.6	4.7
I felt safe and supported at the site.	3.6	4.7
My site provided sufficient and private space in order to protect client confidentiality.	4.3	4.7

Table 2. Student evaluation of site/faculty supervisor

Evaluation Prompt 5=highly effective; 1=minimally effective		SP 2023
My supervisor was accessible.	4	4.7
My supervisor took an active interest in my experience at the site.	4	4.5
My supervisor arranged meaningful experiences for me at the site.	3.3	4.6
My supervisor intentionally facilitated our collaborative supervisory relationship.	3.9	4.7
My supervisor enabled me to practice ethical treatment and behaviors.	4	4.8
My supervisor made expectations clear and helped me work towards my developmental goals.	3.9	4.6
My supervisor gave timely and meaningful feedback.	4.1	4.7
My supervisor provided direct feedback on recorded or live sessions.	3.3	4.5